



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, BUFFALO DISTRICT
478 MAIN STREET
BUFFALO, NY 14202-3278

March 14, 2024

Regulatory Branch

SUBJECT: Approved Jurisdictional Determination for Department of the Army
Processing No. LRB-2023-00911

Elliot Lasky
PO Box 1454
Williamsville, New York 14231
Email: elasky@forbesdevcorp.com

Dear Mr. Lasky:

I have reviewed the approved jurisdictional determination (JD) request submitted by Earth Dimensions, Inc. for an for the approximate 5.71-acre review area located north and adjacent to North French Road, in the Town of Amherst, Erie County, New York (latitude 43.0351 N, and longitude -78.7532 W), as shown on sheets 1-2 of 2.

I have determined that the review area as depicted on attached Sheets 1-2 of 2 is comprised entirely of dry land and contains no potential aquatic resources regulated under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act or Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Therefore, a Department of Army permit is not required for any activities occurring within the review area.

Enclosed is an approved JD. This approved JD will remain valid for a period of five (5) years from the date of this correspondence unless new information warrants revision of the approved JD before the expiration date. At the end of this period, a new aquatic resource delineation will be required to support any request for a new JD.

Further, the delineation included herein has been conducted to identify the location and extent of the aquatic resource boundaries and/or the jurisdictional status of aquatic resources for purposes of the Clean Water Act for the particular review area identified in this request. This delineation and/or jurisdictional determination may not be valid for the Wetland Conservation Provisions of the Food Security Act of 1985, as amended. If you or your tenant are USDA program participants, or anticipate participation in USDA programs, you should discuss the applicability of a certified wetland determination with the local USDA service center, prior to starting work.

If you object to this determination, you may request an administrative appeal under Corps regulations at 33 CFR Part 331. Enclosed you will find a Notification of Appeal

Regulatory Branch

SUBJECT: Approved Jurisdictional Determination for Department of the Army Processing No. LRB-2023-00911

Process (NAP) fact sheet and Request for Appeal (RFA) form. If you request to appeal the above JD, you must submit a completed RFA form within 60 days of the date on this letter to the Great Lakes/Ohio River Division Office at the following address:

Katherine McCafferty
Regulatory Appeals Officer
US Army Corps of Engineers
Great Lakes and Ohio River Division
550 Main Street, Room 10780
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-3222
Phone: 513-684-2699 Fax: 513-684-2460
e-mail: katherine.a.mccafferty@usace.army.mil

In order for an RFA to be accepted by the Corps, the Corps must determine that it is complete; that it meets the criteria for appeal under 33 C.F.R. part 331.5, and that it has been received by the Division Office within 60 days of the date of the NAP. Should you decide to submit an RFA form, it must be received at the above address by **May 12, 2024**.

It is not necessary to submit an RFA to the Division office if you do not object to the determination in this letter.

A copy of this letter has been sent to Earth Dimensions, Inc.

Questions pertaining to this matter should be directed to me at (716) 879-4240, by writing to the following address: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 478 Main Street, Buffalo, New York 14202, or by e-mail at: Shaina.R.Souder@usace.army.mil

Sincerely,



Shaina Souder
Biologist

Enclosures



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, BUFFALO DISTRICT
478 MAIN STREET
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14202-3278

CELRB-TD-R

14 March 2024

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'"; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" (8 September 2023) ,¹ *LRB-2023-00911 [MFR 1 of 1]*²

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.³ AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.⁴

On January 18, 2023, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army ("the agencies") published the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) ("2023 Rule"). On September 8, 2023, the agencies published the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming", which amended the 2023 Rule to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) ("*Sackett*").

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁵ the 2023 Rule as amended,

¹ While the Revised Definition of "Waters of the United States"; Conforming had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² When documenting aquatic resources within the review area that are jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act (CWA), use an additional MFR and group the aquatic resources on each MFR based on the TNW, the territorial seas, or interstate water that they are connected to. Be sure to provide an identifier to indicate when there are multiple MFRs associated with a single AJD request (i.e., number them 1, 2, 3, etc.).

³ 33 CFR 331.2.

⁴ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁵ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

as well as other applicable guidance, relevant case law, and longstanding practice in evaluating jurisdiction.

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

- a. The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters such as streams, rivers, wetlands, lakes, ponds, tidal waters, ditches, and the like in the entire review area and there are no areas that have previously been determined to be jurisdictional under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 in the review area).

A review of the submitted delineation report, which included wetland data points, showed no evidence of wetland criteria (hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, and hydric soils) being present, and no evidence of aquatic resources/waters within the 5.71-acre review area, as described in item 3 below and as shown on attached map sheets 1 and 2 of 2.

During a September 18, 2023 site visit, the forested area was walked through from the north. Specifically, I checked the location of Data point 2, due to the mixed vegetation (hydrophytic and upland vegetation) recorded on the data form. The forested area consisted of Facultative and Facultative Wet vegetation with some upland species intermixed; the area seemed remnant or transitional of wetland but lacked hydrology throughout the site. The adjacent property to the west was walked the same day and similar forested and non-forested areas had evidence of hydrology (standing water, saturation, drainage patterns) and none of these things were observed on this site. The observations made during the site visit were consistent with the dry land reported in the submitted delineation report.

An in-office resource review supports that there are no aquatic resources within the review area. The U.S. Geographical Survey topographic map does not show waters within the review area but does show wetland symbols north of the review area and a dotted, blue-line stream feature to the east of the review area starting at the northeast corner (outside the review area). The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) web soil survey listed the following soils within the review area: Ge – Getzville silt loam with a hydric rating of 85 within the forested portion of the review area and Sw – Swormville clay loam with a hydric rating of 10 located in the maintained residential portion of the review area. The soils information supports that there is possibility of wetland conditions forming in these soils. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetland Inventory (NWI) maps do shows wetland in the forested area of the review area, labeled as PFO1Bd – [P] Palustrine [FO] Forested [1] Broad-leafed deciduous [B] Seasonally Saturated [d] partially drained/ditched and another water adjacent to the review area to the east consisting of a blue-line labeled R4SBC – [R] Riverine [SB] Streambed, [C]

CELRB-TD-R

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of Sackett v. EPA, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), LRB-2023-00911

seasonally flooded. A review of aerial imagery suggests some saturation some areas in the forested areas in the April 25,2020 oblique imagery. However, more recent aerial imagery (Google Earth dated November 2021, May 2022, and May 2023 do not show any saturation or evidence of hydrology.

The Antecedent Precipitation Tool (APT) was conducted for the aerial dates, USACE site visit date, and delineation date.

<i>Latitude</i>	<i>Longitude</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Date explanation</i>	<i>PDSI Value</i>	<i>PDSI Class</i>	<i>Season</i>	<i>Antecedent Precip Score</i>	<i>Antecedent Precip Condition</i>
43.0351	-78.7532	9/18/2023	Date of USACE site visit	1.47	Mild wetness	Wet Season	15	Wetter than Normal
43.0351	-78.7532	9/1/2020	Date of delineation	-1.32	Mild drought	Wet Season	9	Drier than Normal
43.0351	-78.7532	4/25/2020	Oblique Aerial (Connect Explorer)	-0.17	Normal	Wet Season	13	Normal Conditions
43.0351	-78.7532	5/8/2022	Google Earth aerial	2.04	Moderate wetness	Wet Season	11	Normal Conditions
43.0351	-78.7532	5/17/2023	Google Earth aerial	1.22	Mild wetness	Wet Season	15	Wetter than Normal
43.0351	-78.7532	11/23/2021	Google Earth aerial	3.6	Severe wetness	Wet Season	14	Normal Conditions

The APT indicates a period of minor drought on the date of the delineation, September 1, 2020. No wetland criteria were reported at any of the data points, not just hydrology. The APT report of drought conditions at the date of the site visit, which recorded no wetland conditions, indicates that the area is not wetland during normal conditions. The date of the USACE site visit was reported as wetter than normal conditions and hydrology indicators were not observed, supporting that hydrology is not typical within the review area even with wetter than normal conditions. The aerial/oblique imagery all reported normal conditions except for May 2023. No evidence of hydrology was observed in aerial/oblique

imagery except for the April 25, 2020 oblique, which was reported as normal conditions during the wet season.

Based on a detailed review of the submitted delineation report, a detailed in-office resource analysis, and the observations made during the September 18, 2023 USACE site visit as described above, it has been determined that the 5.71-acre review area is completely comprised of dry land and does not contain any aquatic resources or waters of the United States.

2. REFERENCES.

- a. "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) ("2023 Rule")
- b. "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" 88 FR 61964 (September 8, 2023))
- c. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. ___, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)

3. REVIEW AREA. *An approximately 5.71-acre review area with relatively flat topography, located north and adjacent to North French Road, in the town of Amherst, Erie County, New York. The northern portion of the review area is forested. The southern portion of the review area is a single-family residential home with maintained (mowed) lawn. (Latitude: 43.0351, Longitude: -78.7532) See map sheets 1 and 2 of 2.*

4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. *N/A.*

5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER. *N/A.*

6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁶: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic

⁶ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as "navigable in law" even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁷ N/A.

7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the 2023 Rule as amended, consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of "waters of the United States" in the 2023 Rule as amended. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed.
 - a. Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs) (a)(1)(i): N/A.
 - b. The Territorial Seas (a)(1)(ii): N/A.
 - c. Interstate Waters (a)(1)(iii): N/A.
 - d. Impoundments (a)(2): N/A.
 - e. Tributaries (a)(3): N/A.
 - f. Adjacent Wetlands (a)(4): N/A.
 - g. Additional Waters (a)(5): N/A.
8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES
 - a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified in the 2023 Rule as amended as not "waters of the United States" even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5). Include the type of excluded aquatic resource or feature, the size of the aquatic resource or feature

⁷ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

CELRB-TD-R

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), LRB-2023-00911

within the review area and describe how it was determined to meet one of the exclusions listed in 33 CFR 328.3(b).⁸ N/A.

- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the 2023 Rule as amended (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).
N/A.
9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.
- a. *Corps of Engineers' site visit: September 18, 2023. In-Office resource evaluation: September 15, 2023, October 2, 2023, and February 27, 2024.*
 - b. *Submitted Aquatic Resource Delineation Report: "Wetland Delineation Report, 1920 North French Road, Town of Amherst, NY," dated November 2, 2020 and includes data forms dated September 1, 2020; prepared for Elliot Lasky; Prepared by Davey Resource Group. Submitted to the USACE by Earth Dimensions, Inc on September 15, 2023.*
 - c. *U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HA-730.
X USGS NHD data.
X USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.*
 - d. *U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
<https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/topoview/viewer/> - USGS; Tonawanda East - NY; 2019 – and as provided in the submitted delineation report.*
 - e. *Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
<https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx> - Erie County, New York; accessed September 15, 2023 and as provided in the submitted delineation report.*
 - f. *National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
<https://www.fws.gov/program/national-wetlandsinventory/wetlands-mapper>, accessed on February 27, 2024 and as provided in the delineation report.*

⁸ 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023)


CELRB-TD-R

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of Sackett v. EPA, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), LRB-2023-00911

- g. *State/local wetland inventory map(s): New York State Department of Environmental Resource Mapper - <https://gisservices.dec.ny.gov/gis/erm/>.*
- h. *Photographs:*
 - *Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth aerial imagery November 2021, May 2022, May 2023. Connect Explorer Oblique imagery dated April 25, 2020 - <https://explorer.eagleview.com/>.*
 - *Other (Name & Date): Photographs included in the delineation report, dated September 1, 2020.*
- i. *Antecedent Precipitation Tool conducted for dates: September 18, 2023 – date of USACE site visit, 9/1/2020 – date of delineation, April 25, 2020 date of oblique imagery, and dates of Google Earth aerials – November 23, 2021, May 8, 2022, May 17, 2023.*

10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. N/A.


11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR's structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.



Lasky, Elliot
 LRB-2023-00911
 Erie County, New York
 USGS Topo Quad: NY - Tonawanda East
 Sheet 1 of 2 - USGS Location Map



Figure 1: USGS Quadrangle Map
 Tonawanda East Quadrangle
 Scale 1: 24,000



Town of Amherst
 Erie County, New York
 Prepared for:
 Mr. Elliot Lasky

1920 North French Road Sample Map



UPL1

UPL2

UPL3

Lasky, Elliot
LRB-2023-00911
Erie County, New York
USGS Topo Quad: NY - Tonawanda East
Sheet 2 of 2 -Aquatic Resource Delineation
Map - Dry land determination



50 ft



WILSON
ENVIRONMENTAL
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Note: The mapped points were recorded using a Garmin Handheld GPS and are not survey accurate.

NOTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OPTIONS AND PROCESS AND REQUEST FOR APPEAL

Applicant: Lasky, Elliot	File Number: LRB-2023-00911	Date: March 14, 2024
Attached is:		See Section below
	INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission)	A
	PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission)	B
	PERMIT DENIAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE	C
	PERMIT DENIAL WITH PREJUDICE	D
X	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	E
	PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	F

SECTION I

The following identifies your rights and options regarding an administrative appeal of the above decision. Additional information may be found at <https://www.usace.army.mil/Missions/Civil-Works/Regulatory-Program-and-Permits/appeals/> or Corps regulations at 33 CFR Part 331.

A: INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or object to the permit

- **ACCEPT:** If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- **OBJECT:** If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may request that the permit be modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this form and return the form to the district engineer. Upon receipt of your letter, the district engineer will evaluate your objections and may: (a) modify the permit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to address some of your objections, or (c) not modify the permit having determined that the permit should be issued as previously written. After evaluating your objections, the district engineer will send you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated in Section B below.

B: PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal the permit

- **ACCEPT:** If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- **APPEAL:** If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may appeal the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

C. PERMIT DENIAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE: Not appealable

You received a permit denial without prejudice because a required Federal, state, and/or local authorization and/or certification has been denied for activities which also require a Department of the Army permit before final action has been taken on the Army permit application. The permit denial without prejudice is not appealable. There is no prejudice to the right of the applicant to reinstate processing of the Army permit application if subsequent approval is received from the appropriate Federal, state, and/or local agency on a previously denied authorization and/or certification.

D: PERMIT DENIAL WITH PREJUDICE: You may appeal the permit denial

You may appeal the denial of a permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

E: APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You may accept or appeal the approved JD or provide new information for reconsideration

- **ACCEPT:** You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an approved JD. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of the date of this notice means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety and waive all rights to appeal the approved JD.
- **APPEAL:** If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal the approved JD under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- **RECONSIDERATION:** You may request that the district engineer reconsider the approved JD by submitting new information or data to the district engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice. The district will determine whether the information submitted qualifies as new information or data that justifies reconsideration of the approved JD. A reconsideration request does not initiate the appeal process. You may submit a request for appeal to the division engineer to preserve your appeal rights while the district is determining whether the submitted information qualifies for a reconsideration.

F: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: Not appealable

You do not need to respond to the Corps regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD is not appealable. If you wish, you may request an approved JD (which may be appealed), by contacting the Corps district for further instruction. Also, you may provide new information for further consideration by the Corps to reevaluate the JD.

POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION:

If you have questions regarding this decision you may contact:

Shaina Souder
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
478 Main St,
Buffalo, New York 14202
Phone: (716)879-4240
Email: Shaina.R.Souder@usace.army.mil

If you have questions regarding the appeal process, or to submit your request for appeal, you may contact:

Katherine McCafferty
Regulatory Appeals Officer
US Army Corps of Engineers
Great Lakes and Ohio River Division
550 Main Street, Room 10780
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-3222
Phone: 513-684-2699 Fax: 513-684-2460
e-mail: katherine.a.mccafferty@usace.army.mil

SECTION II – REQUEST FOR APPEAL or OBJECTIONS TO AN INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT

REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Describe your reasons for appealing the decision or your objections to an initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. Use additional pages as necessary. You may attach additional information to this form to clarify where your reasons or objections are addressed in the administrative record.)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of the administrative record, the Corps memorandum for the record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record.

RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15-day notice of any site investigation and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations.

<hr/> <p>Signature of appellant or agent.</p>	Date:
Email address of appellant and/or agent:	Telephone number: