**Carson Power LLC**
39 Broadway
32nd Floor
New York, NY 10006
www.carson-power.com

## Project Applicant

Renaissance BESS A LLC, Renaissance BESS B LLC, Renaissance BESS C LLC, and Renaissance BESS D LLC
c/o Carson Power, 39 Broadway, 32nd Floor, New York, NY 10006

## Project Address and Property Owner

- Property address: 360–370 Youngs Road, Williamsville, NY 14221
- Project parcel owner: R.Q.C. Ltd
- Tax Parcel (SBL): 81.02-3-1.1
- Town Site Plan Review No.: SP-1979-42_C
- Town of Amherst Job No.: 1979.052

## Project Overview

Following the Applicant's September 21, 2026 submission of revised materials, the Town of Amherst Planning Department transmitted additional departmental review comments on October 22, 2026. The Town requested updated materials by October 30, 2026, to allow for re-review and consideration of the application for the November 19, 2026 Planning Board agenda.

This document provides the Applicant's responses to the Town Planning Department's October 13, 2026 review letter, the Department of Emergency Services and Safety's Emergency Response Plan review comments, and the Engineering Department's September 30, 2026 SWPPP review for Major Site Plan Review SP-1979-42_C, 360-370 S Youngs Road. It also addresses the Engineering Services Division's October 15, 2026 review.

The following Town departments provided the comments and correspondence addressed in this response. Reviews are organized by the date of each reviewer's earliest correspondence, with related correspondence grouped under the same reviewer:

1. **Vaishali Reberholt, P.E., CPESC - Stormwater Management Officer:** SWPPP review dated **September 30, 2026**, approving the Site Plan SWPPP with conditions.
2. **Daniel J. Ulatowski, AICP - Assistant Planning Director/Zoning Enforcement Officer:** Zoning comments included in the Planning Department review dated **October 13, 2026**.
3. **Planning Department Staff:** Planning Staff comments included in the Planning Department review dated **October 13, 2026**.
4. **Landscape Architect:** Landscape comments included in the Planning Department review dated **October 13, 2026**.

5. **Brandon Peters - Director, Amherst Department of Emergency Services and Safety:** Emergency Response Plan review comments attached to the Planning Department review dated **October 13, 2026**, addressing the Emergency Response Plan dated September 17, 2026.
6. **Additional Town coordination:** Meeting on **October 14, 2026** with representatives of the Planning Department, Building/Code Enforcement, and the Department of Emergency Services and Safety; Town correspondence dated **October 21, 2026** providing landscaping and screening guidance and confirming the approach to the dispersion modeling analysis.
7. **Jessica Boudreau, P.E. - Project Manager, Engineering Services Division:** Engineering Services review dated **October 15, 2026**, approving the Major Site Plan with one condition.
8. **Planning Department - Greg Dionne, Assistant Planner:** Transmittal letter dated **October 22, 2026** enclosing the reviews received to date and establishing the October 30, 2026 resubmission deadline.

The review correspondence summarized above has been received from the applicable Town departments. The comments and the Applicant's responses follow. Receipt of review correspondence does not constitute final approval or resolution of every comment.

# Responses to Review Comments

## SWPPP Review

Town of Amherst Engineering Department | September 30, 2026

From: Vaishali Reberholt, P.E., CPESC, SMO

*SITE PLAN SWPPP APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS*

**SWPPP | Comment 1 – SWPPP Phasing Language - Page 7**

On Page 7, remove the phasing language - everything else indicates that this is not a phased project.

> **Applicant response:** The phasing language has been removed from page 7 of the SWPPP.

**SWPPP | Comment 2 – SWPPP Owner/Operator Form**

Comment received regarding the Owner/Operator Form. It will need to be signed before the MS4 Acceptance Form is signed.

> **Applicant response:** Acknowledged. The signed Owner/Operator Form will be provided prior to execution of the MS4 Acceptance Form.

## Zoning Enforcement Officer Review

Town of Amherst Planning Department | October 13, 2026

*Not approvable for the following reasons:*

**Zoning | Comment 1 – National Grid Interconnection / Utility Poles**

The Planning Department will review the site plan for compliance with §203-6-12-6.F of the Zoning Ordinance prior to issuance of any permits for construction and after the applicant has finalized its connection plan with National Grid. The Town Planning Department and/or Planning Board will seek to minimize the visual impact caused by the installation of additional utility poles. During the preparation of the Applicant's connection plan with National Grid he/she shall demonstrate why ground mounted interconnections that meet National Grid's construction standards cannot be employed, beyond the first permitted above grade interconnection pole. The final site plan shall include any construction details for a new utility pole, overhead connection details, ground mounted vaults, cabinets and/or boxes. Identify the location of existing utility poles along the north side of Wehrle Drive, that will be connection points.

> **Applicant response:** The Applicant acknowledges this comment and will continue coordinating with National Grid to finalize the interconnection design. Following site plan approval and prior to applying for a building permit, the Applicant will provide updated site plans and supporting documentation addressing the proposed interconnection infrastructure, including the feasibility of ground-mounted alternatives, utility pole locations, and associated construction details.

**Zoning | Comment 2 – Mechanical Equipment Screening**

Please see visual simulations provided by Carson to the Town prior to your site plan application showing sufficient screening of mechanical equipment, pursuant to §203-7-2-4.C(1) of Town Code.

> **Applicant response:** The Applicant's original design located the pad-mounted equipment in the southeastern portion of the property. However, National Grid required that the equipment be located closer to the roadway and provide direct vehicular access, allowing utility personnel to drive immediately adjacent to the equipment for maintenance and operation.

Due to the existing site constraints and limited available space, these requirements could not be accommodated in the original location. Consequently, the Applicant relocated the pad-mounted equipment and associated utility poles to the northern portion of the property and incorporated a dedicated access roadway, providing National Grid with direct vehicular access to the equipment.

The proposed screening has also been updated in accordance with the Town's guidance. The 8-foot fence height is identified on drawing C-100, and the dark-green color specifications for the sound wall and vehicular gate screening are included on drawing C-602.

**Zoning | Comment 3 – High Impact Screening / Sound Wall**

High Impact Screening is not provided between the project and all adjacent properties and roadways as required by §203-6-12-6.E, and as outlined in §203-7-2-4.B(1) of Town Code. Please refer to the visual simulation provided by Carson to the Town prior to your site plan application. The sound wall shall be significantly screened by vegetation at maturity so that it is not visible from the public roadway view sheds.

**Applicant response:** Please refer to the Applicant's response to Comment 2 regarding the utility requirements and site constraints that dictated the proposed equipment configuration.

Following additional coordination with the Town, the Applicant received specific landscaping and screening guidance on October 21, 2026. The revised Landscape Plan, drawing L-100, reflects the requested additional evergreen screening, and the proposed fence/wall height and color have been updated in accordance with the Town's direction.

The Applicant has made reasonable efforts to maximize screening while maintaining the required utility access and accommodating existing site constraints. Based on the Town's specific guidance and the revised site plans, the Applicant respectfully requests that the proposed screening measures be considered sufficient to satisfy the applicable Town requirements.

**Zoning | Comment 4 – Emergency Response Plan**

The draft Emergency Response Plan is still considered incomplete. See attached comments from the Town's Director of Emergency Services and Safety.

**Applicant response:** The Applicant acknowledges the Department's comments and has provided individual responses to each item in the Emergency Services and Safety Review section below.

Pursuant to Section 1207 of the Fire Code of New York State, the project is subject to fire-code review and the applicable peer-review requirements as part of the building permit process.

As the final site design, equipment specifications, and operating procedures are established, the Applicant will continue refining the Emergency Response Plan (ERP) in coordination with the Town. The updated ERP and supporting fire safety documentation will be submitted for review during the building permit process, with final equipment-specific details addressed prior to issuance of building permits.

These matters will be addressed through the applicable fire-code and building permit review process rather than as separate conditions of site plan approval. The ERP will remain a living document and will be updated as necessary throughout facility operation.

### Zoning | Comment 5 – Decommissioning and Site Restoration Plan

The draft Decommissioning and Site Restoration Plan shall be considered incomplete until its acceptance by the Town Attorney's office.

**Applicant response:** The Applicant acknowledges this comment and will continue coordinating with the Town Attorney's office regarding the Decommissioning and Site Restoration Plan.

The Applicant understands that the only remaining outstanding item concerns the proposed dollar amount of the decommissioning security, and that no other substantive comments on the Plan have been identified.

The Applicant is happy to continue working with the Town Attorney to resolve this remaining item following site plan approval and prior to finalizing the associated decommissioning agreement with the Town Board.

## Planning Department Staff Review

Town of Amherst Planning Department | October 13, 2026

### Planning Staff | Comment 1 – Dispersion Modeling

The review letter from Brandon Peters, Director of the Amherst Department of Emergency Services and Safety, contained comments related to Section 6.3.1 (Products of Combustion) of the Emergency Response Plan dated September 17, 2026, in which he stated that "a dispersion modeling report should be provided to illustrate potential release scenarios, anticipated plume direction and travel, concentration levels, and the potential impact on surrounding properties and populations. The report should account for relevant factors such as release quantity, prevailing and adverse weather conditions, wind speed and direction, atmospheric stability, and surrounding terrains or structures." Based on this comment/request, the Planning Director will require the petitioner to provide such a report, pursuant to §203-6-12-6.J of Town Code.

**Applicant response:** As discussed and agreed upon during the October 14, 2026 meeting with representatives of the Town's Planning Department, Building/Code Enforcement, and Department of Emergency Services and Safety, the requested dispersion modeling analysis will be deferred until the building permit stage.

The meeting included Brandon Peters, Director of Emergency Services and Safety; Krista Halt, Senior Fire Inspector; Douglas Gesel, Supervising Code Enforcement Officer; Daniel Howard, Planning Director; Daniel Ulatowski, Assistant Planning Director/Zoning Enforcement Officer; and Gregory Dionne, Assistant Planner.

The Town representatives agreed that the dispersion modeling report would not be required prior to site plan approval and could instead be incorporated as a condition of approval, with the analysis submitted for Town review prior to issuance of building permits.

This approach was further confirmed in the Town's October 21, 2026 correspondence. The analysis will therefore be completed following finalization of the site design and equipment specifications and submitted for the Town's review during the building permit process.

The ERP will be updated to reflect this agreed-upon approach.

**Planning Staff | Comment 2 – Community Benefit Agreement**

Provide a draft Community Benefit Agreement pursuant to §203-6-12-6.O of Town Code. Our office anticipates that the Planning Board will want to see a draft of such agreement prior to the public hearing, as recommended by the Town Attorney in his letter dated September 15, 2026. Our office will recommend to the Planning Board that any future favorable site plan resolution include a condition that the petitioner obtain acceptance by the Town Board of the Community Benefit Agreement, in connection with any payment-in-lieu-of-taxes (PILOT) agreement with the Amherst Industrial Development Agency (AIDA), prior to final site plan approval.

**Applicant response:** The Applicant discussed the basis of a PILOT and Community Benefit Agreement with Dave Mingoia of the Amherst IDA on October 16, 2026, contemplating $1,000/MW annually through a PILOT and an additional $1,000/MW annually with a 2% yearly escalation through a Host Community Agreement (HCA) with the Town, subject to Town Board review and approval. Drafts of the HCA agreements are included in this submission, and the Applicant looks forward to working with Town counsel and the Town Board to finalize the agreements.

**Planning Staff | Comment 3 – Vegetation and Access Easement Agreements**

Provide draft easement agreements for the proposed vegetation and access easements indicated on drawing C-100.

**Applicant response:** The Applicant acknowledges the Town's request. There is no separate draft easement agreement to provide, as the proposed easements are internal to the property and are governed by the existing Ground Lease Option Agreement between Carson Power LLC and the property owner, R.Q.C. LTD., dated April 30, 2026.

Section 4 of the agreement establishes the Applicant's easement rights, while Section 7(a) provides for the final lease and easement boundaries to be established by survey and incorporated into the lease through an updated Exhibit B.

The Applicant has provided the preliminary *NY Renaissance BESS Lease and Easement Exhibit*, identifying anticipated access, utility, stormwater, and vegetative easements. This exhibit does not reflect the current or final project design and will be updated following zoning approval and again prior to building permit submission.

The final exhibit will be incorporated into the lease with R.Q.C. LTD. prior to applying for a building permit and will establish the final locations of all onsite easements, including those necessary for stormwater management and vegetative screening. No separate easement agreement is anticipated, as these rights will be documented within the existing lease.

4. On Drawing C-602

**Planning Staff | Comment 4.a – Sound Wall Specifications and Manufacturer Cut Sheet**

The response letter received on September 21, 2026 with the revised materials stated that detail #1 (sound wall), was updated to specify the opacity, an earth-toned color, and the common material name of the panels, as requested in our Planning Department review letter dated September 10, 2026, however, this information does not appear to be included on that drawing. Update the drawing to include this information. The previously requested manufacturer's cut sheet for the sound wall also appears to be missing from the revised materials.

**Applicant response:** The requested sound wall specifications, including opacity, material, and dark-green color, are identified on drawing C-602. The associated construction details and manufacturer's cut sheet have also been submitted for the Town's review.

The Applicant has confirmed that the sound wall manufacturer offers custom color options, including the proposed dark-green finish, consistent with the Town's screening requirements.

#### Planning Staff | Comment 4.b – Sound Wall and Vehicular Gate Fence Color

For detail #2 (vehicular gate chain link fence), the proposed HDPE/PVC vinyl slats are indicated as brown in color. Our office will recommend to the Planning Board that both the sound wall and the vinyl slats on the vehicular gate chain link fence be specified as a dark green color.

**Applicant response:** Acknowledged. The proposed sound wall and vehicular gate screening have been revised to dark green, as specified on drawing C-602. The 8-foot fence/wall height is identified on drawing C-100, consistent with the Town's October 21, 2026 guidance.

#### Planning Staff | Comment 5 – SEQR-Related Issues

Note that any other SEQR-related issues raised during the review process for this site plan must be addressed prior to action by the Planning Board.

**Applicant response:** Acknowledged. The Applicant will address any additional SEQR-related comments identified during the site plan review process.

#### Planning Staff | Comment 6 – Revised Plan Submissions

Please provide an 8½" x 11" reduction of the site plan and an electronic version of any revised plans, amended or additional materials submitted for review.

**Applicant response:** Acknowledged. The Applicant will provide an 8½" × 11" reduction of the revised site plan, along with electronic copies of the updated plans and supporting materials.

## Landscape Architect Review

Town of Amherst Planning Department | October 13, 2026

#### Landscape | Comment 1 – Missing Trees / Drawing L-100

On drawing L-100, some of the dead or missing plants from the previously approved site plan (SP-1979-42_B) were added to the drawing, however, a missing tree adjacent to the northwest corner of the existing building on the parcel, as well as two missing trees near the southern property line, are not indicated on the drawing. All missing trees from the previously approved site plan must be added to the landscaping plan, regardless of whether or not they are located within the limits of disturbance for the proposed project.

**Applicant response:** Acknowledged. The missing trees identified by the Town have been added to the revised Landscape Plan, drawing L-100, including the tree adjacent to the northwest corner of the existing building and the two trees along the southern property line.

#### Landscape | Comment 2 – Fire Hydrant Clearance / Drawing C-603

Show a 3-foot clearance on the fire hydrant assembly detail on C-603 as per 2020 Fire Code of NYS Section 507.5.5 "All fire hydrants shall have clear space around them. A 3-foot clear space shall be maintained around the circumference of fire hydrants."

**Applicant response:** Acknowledged. The fire hydrant detail on drawing C-603 has been updated to identify the required 3-foot clear space around the circumference of the fire hydrant in accordance with Section 507.5.5 of the 2020 Fire Code of New York State.

## Department of Emergency Services and Safety Review (ERP)

Town of Amherst Department of Emergency Services and Safety | Attached to Planning Department review dated October 13, 2026

From: Brandon Peters, Director of Emergency Services and Safety

**ERP | Comment 1 – Section 1.1 - Emergency Services Contact List**

Amherst Emergency Services and Safety should be added to Table 1.

**Applicant response:** Section 1.1, Table 1 of the Emergency Response Plan has been updated to include the Town of Amherst Department of Emergency Services and Safety and its associated contact information.

**ERP | Comment 2 – Section 3.2 - Energy Storage System Site Overview**

"The facility will contain twenty-six (26) MP2XL cabinets divided into four (4) blocks" however, site drawing illustrates twenty-four (24).

**Applicant response:** Section 3.2 of the Emergency Response Plan has been updated to reflect the correct equipment count of twenty-four (24) Tesla Megapack 2XL cabinets, arranged in four (4) blocks, each containing six (6) cabinets and one (1) step-up transformer.

**ERP | Comment 3 – Section 4.4 - Battery Management System**

"This action by the BMS (which is just one example of many ways the BMS can respond to a fault condition) can either prevent thermal runaway from occurring in the cell or prohibit the propagation of thermal runaway to adjacent cells. Depending on the severity of the fault condition, the BMS can automatically isolate the affected battery module temporarily (less severe fault) or it can permanently disconnect the module."

What, if any, faults in the Battery Management System trigger an Emergency Response or SME to perform an onsite inspection?

**Applicant response:** The Tesla Megapack 2XL incorporates an integrated Battery Management System (BMS) that continuously monitors battery temperature, voltage, current, state of charge, and other operating conditions.

Depending on the severity of a detected fault, the BMS can initiate protective actions, including temporary isolation or permanent disconnection of affected battery modules. A loss of BMS functionality would initiate a system shutdown and generate an alarm.

As confirmed by Fire & Risk Alliance, the MP2XL does not incorporate separate internal smoke, heat, or combustible-gas detectors. Battery temperatures and other operating conditions are monitored through the integrated BMS. The exact number of individual sensors within each cabinet has not yet been confirmed by the equipment provider.

BMS fault notifications are monitored by Tesla's 24/7 Local Operating Center, with Carson Power coordinating the appropriate technical response. Not all BMS faults constitute emergencies requiring local fire department dispatch.

The specific alarm thresholds, escalation procedures, and conditions requiring onsite technical support or emergency services notification will be confirmed with Tesla and coordinated with the Town as part of the finalized ERP prior to issuance of building permits.

**ERP | Comment 4 – Section 4.5 - Explosion Control and Prevention System**

1. If the ECPS activates, does this result in activation of Emergency Response System through remote monitoring?

2. Training should include proper recognition of ECPS activation, and visible signs leading up to activation, if any.

**Applicant response:** As clarified by Fire & Risk Alliance, the Explosion Control and Prevention System (ECPS) utilizes ignition devices (sparkers) and pressure-relief vents to mitigate the potential for flammable gas accumulation and deflagration.

In the event of a battery cell failure, the BMS detects the abnormal condition and generates an alarm, initiating notification to the facility operator and appropriate technical support personnel.

During an event involving the ECPS, flames may be visible through the cabinet's NFPA 68 pressure-relief vents. These indications and associated hazards will be addressed during emergency responder training.

The specific alarm and notification sequence, including conditions requiring notification to local emergency services, will be confirmed with Tesla and coordinated with the Town as part of the finalized ERP.

Prior to the facility being placed into operation, the Applicant will coordinate an in-person training session and site walk-through with local emergency responders. This will provide an opportunity to review the final equipment configuration, ECPS operation, alarm notifications, and appropriate emergency response procedures in greater detail.

**ERP | Comment 5 – Section 6.1 - Explosion Hazard**

An exclusion zone is recommended in the event of an explosion. Section 7.3.3 identifies 100-ft for deflagration; does this 100-ft benchmark also apply for explosion?

**Applicant response:** As clarified by Fire & Risk Alliance, deflagration is the more technically accurate term for the explosion hazard addressed by the ECPS.

The 100-foot exclusion distance identified in the ERP is intended as an initial planning reference and should not be interpreted as a fixed or maximum protective distance.

During an emergency, the Incident Commander will determine the appropriate exclusion zone based on the nature and severity of the incident, observed conditions, wind direction, and other relevant hazards. The exclusion zone may be expanded as necessary to protect responders and surrounding properties.

**ERP | Comment 6 – Section 6.3.1 - Products of Combustion**

Due to the potential for a toxic gas release, specialized air-monitoring equipment may be required to ensure the accurate detection and measurement of hazardous airborne contaminants. Appropriate monitoring capabilities should be identified based on the specific chemical or material involved and the anticipated concentrations.

Additionally, a dispersion modeling report should be provided to illustrate potential release scenarios, anticipated plume direction and travel, concentration levels, and the potential impact on surrounding properties and populations. The report should account for relevant factors such as release quantity, prevailing and adverse weather conditions, wind speed and direction, atmospheric stability, and surrounding terrain or structures.

**Applicant response:** The Applicant acknowledges the Department's concerns regarding potential products of combustion and associated atmospheric hazards.

During an emergency, the Incident Commander will determine appropriate protective measures based on observed conditions, wind direction, available atmospheric monitoring data, and the nature of the incident. The need for specialized air-monitoring equipment will be evaluated in coordination with responding emergency services personnel.

As agreed during the October 14, 2026 meeting with Town representatives and subsequently confirmed in the Town's October 21, 2026 correspondence, the dispersion modeling analysis will be completed as a condition of site plan approval, with the report submitted for Town review prior to issuance of building permits.

The analysis will be prepared once the final site design and equipment specifications have been established, consistent with the response to Planning Department Staff Review Comment 1.

**ERP | Comment 7 – Section 7.3 - BESS Failure Response Tactics**

The number provided goes to a call center. It is stated several times these sites are typically unstaffed and controlled remotely. During an incident will the SME be available to respond to the scene, or is this remote support? If local, a more direct method of communication should be established.

**Applicant response:** The facility will be remotely monitored, with BMS fault notifications monitored through Tesla's 24/7 Local Operating Center and Carson Power coordinating the appropriate response.

In the event of an emergency, the facility operator will coordinate with qualified Hazard Support Personnel (HSP), who may provide technical assistance remotely and respond onsite as required.

As identified in Section 1207.1.8.1 of the Fire Code of New York State, Hazard Support Personnel are required to be dispatched within fifteen (15) minutes and arrive onsite within four (4) hours, as required and approved by the fire code official.

The designated Hazard Support Personnel, direct emergency contact information, communication procedures, and escalation protocols will be established with the equipment provider and coordinated with the Town.

These details will be incorporated into the finalized ERP prior to issuance of building permits to ensure local emergency responders have clearly identified points of contact and procedures for obtaining technical assistance.

**ERP | Comment 8 – Section 7.3.3 - Exclusion Zone**

A dispersion modeling report should be provided to determine whether the proposed 100-foot exclusion zone is adequate. The analysis should evaluate potential toxic gas release and dispersion and account for potential fire involvement or fire spread under a reasonable worst-case scenario.

During an actual emergency response, establishing appropriate air-monitoring equipment and obtaining reliable readings may require additional time. Until adequate air monitoring is established and atmospheric conditions can be assessed, responders may need to establish an initial exclusion zone greater than 100 feet. The exclusion zone can then be adjusted based on air-monitoring results, observed conditions, wind direction, the materials involved, and other incident-specific hazards.

The dispersion modeling should therefore identify potential impact areas under worst-case conditions and provide information that can be used to establish appropriate initial isolation and protective-action distances.

**Applicant response:** As discussed with Fire & Risk Alliance, the 100-foot exclusion zone identified in the ERP is intended as an initial planning reference and does not represent a fixed or maximum protective distance.

During an emergency, the Incident Commander will establish the appropriate initial exclusion zone based on observed conditions, wind direction, fire involvement, and other potential hazards. The exclusion zone may be expanded or adjusted as additional information and atmospheric monitoring data become available.

Consistent with the Town's direction, the dispersion modeling analysis will be completed as a condition of site plan approval and submitted for review prior to issuance of building permits. The findings will be incorporated into the finalized ERP as appropriate.

The Applicant is not proposing a separate community-wide evacuation plan for the facility. Any necessary evacuation or shelter-in-place measures during an actual emergency would be determined by the responding Incident Commander based on incident-specific conditions. Applicable site fire safety and evacuation documentation will be addressed through the building permit review process.

Prior to commencement of operations, the Applicant will conduct an in-person training session and site walk-through with local emergency responders. The final exclusion-zone procedures, emergency notification protocols, and protective-action considerations can be reviewed in greater detail during this training.

**ERP | Comment 9 – Section 8 - Recovery**

Section 5.3 states "The MP2XL cabinets do not contain internal fire alarm or detection systems" or "The MP2XL is not equipped with any type of water sprinkler, gaseous agent, or aerosol-based fire suppression system." However, these items are listed as safety features for restoration.

**Applicant response:** The Applicant acknowledges the inconsistency. The Tesla Megapack 2XL does not incorporate dedicated internal fire detection or fire suppression systems.

Section 8 of the ERP has been revised to clarify that recovery and restoration procedures will focus on the applicable equipment safety systems, including the Battery Management System (BMS), thermal management, remote monitoring and control systems, electrical protection systems, and Explosion Control and Prevention System (ECPS).

Following an incident, the affected equipment will be evaluated by qualified technical personnel prior to being returned to service.

The final equipment-specific recovery procedures will be incorporated into the ERP prior to issuance of building permits, once the final equipment configuration and associated safety systems are confirmed.

## Engineering Services Division

Town of Amherst Engineering Department | October 15, 2026

From: Jessica Boudreau, P.E., Project Manager

*MAJOR SITE PLAN IS APPROVED WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:*
*(Based on Plans dated 9/17/26 & SWPPP Report dated September 2026)*

**Engineering | Comment 1**

Utility as-builts shall be provided to the Engineering Services Division after construction of the proposed improvements.

**Applicant response:** Acknowledged. Utility as-builts will be provided to the Engineering Services Division following construction of the proposed improvements.

## Conclusion

The Applicant has addressed each comment in this response. Where an item cannot yet be fully resolved, this response identifies the reason and the remaining coordination or documentation required.

We appreciate the Department's input and look forward to continued coordination with Amherst Emergency Services and Safety and local fire responders as the ERP is refined.