

THE TOWN OF AMHERST

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATIONS OF:

RENAISSANCE BESS A LLC,
RENAISSANCE BESS B LLC,
RENAISSANCE BESS C LLC, and
RENAISSANCE BESS D LLC.

AS SUBMITTED BY CARSON POWER, LLC

AFFIRMATION

Joseph Seman-Graves under the penalties of perjury and pursuant to CPLR 2106,
affirms:

1. I am a Senior Project Developer with Carson Power LLC.
2. I submit this information in support of the applications for battery storage projects Renaissance BESS A LLC, Renaissance BESS B LLC, Renaissance BESS C LLC, and Renaissance BESS D LLC. These are all wholly-owned subsidiaries of Carson Power, LLC.
3. The purpose of this Affirmation is to address questions raised by the Town Planning Department.

Planning Staff Comment on Poles

4. The staff provided the following comment:

“Unable to verify compliance with §203-6-12-6.F of Town Code. Provide technical justification for the four (4) utility pole installations. Include any construction standard/policy required by National Grid. Installation of any new utility pole shall be positioned at the public road right-of-way. Provide a construction detail for any

new utility pole and overhead connection detail. Identify the location of all existing utility poles along the north side of Wehrle Drive, and those that are connection points.”

Applicant response: We had responded by pointing out the application was for 4 projects (the 4 separate LLCs are listed on the project information) from a utility perspective, requiring four separate poles, one for each interconnection. This is in accordance with Public Service Commission rules (Case 15-E-0557, Order Amending Standardized Interconnection Requirements) on project size contained in the “Standardized Interconnection Requirements and Application Process for New Distributed Generators and/or Energy Storage Systems 5 MW or Less Connected in Parallel with Utility Distribution Systems” (Section 1A).

5. The staff provided the following comment:

“Provide details on all proposed interconnection equipment and any other above-ground equipment or structures shown on the site plans, including meter boards, transformers, utility structures, etc.”

Applicant response: The Applicant has provided manufacturer data sheets and available technical information for the proposed customer-owned interconnection and above-ground equipment. Detailed design information for utility-owned equipment cannot be provided by the Applicant because the final equipment selection, configuration, and installation details are controlled by National Grid and have not yet been finalized by the utility. The Applicant does not have authority to specify or modify National Grid-owned equipment.

The final utility-owned equipment and connection details will be established by National Grid as the interconnection design progresses. Any such utility design refinements will remain within the established interconnection area and will not expand or materially alter the

approved project footprint. Spec sheets for utility-owned infrastructure will be made available prior to issuance of the building permit and will be reflected in the IFC drawings and as-builts provided to the Town.

6. The staff provided the following comment:

“On drawing C-101, identify each of the proposed utility structures and interconnection equipment.”

Applicant response: Due to space limitations on Drawing C-101, individual equipment identification and callouts could not be clearly incorporated on that sheet. The Applicant has instead submitted Sheet E-1.0, which identifies the proposed utility structures and interconnection equipment.

Sheet E-1.0 is provided solely for the purpose of identifying the equipment associated with the Site Plan and should not be relied upon for dimensions, final equipment locations, construction, permitting, or any other design purpose. Final utility-owned equipment and connection details remain subject to National Grid design and coordination, as addressed in the response to Zoning Comment 1.

7. We received a comment from the Planning Department that the applications did not comply potentially with the Town Code because there were four polls shown for interconnections. However the code provision requires that there be one pole per interconnection, and because under the orders of the New York State Public Service Commission these are required to be for separate projects with four separate interconnections, the application is in compliance with the Town Code because there is one pole per interconnection.

Dated: Albany, New York
September 18th, 2026

Joseph Seman-Graves

Joseph Seman-Graves